Corporations will pay about $136 billion in federal taxes this fiscal year. However, according to a study by Citizens for Tax Justice, a Washington research group, tax loopholes will save them $171 billion. The economic stimulus package passed by Congress this winter will enlarge those loopholes further. The package provides a 30 percent increase in corporate depreciation write-offs in each of the next three years, tax breaks for multinational corporations that use offshore tax havens, and measures that make applying for rebates of taxes paid in the past easier for companies.

Corporate Welfare: STATE

This past year, Illinois’s Governor George Ryan and the state legislature cut more than $546 million from the budget and laid off more than 3,300 state employees to help address the state’s $2 billion shortfall. Many of these cuts could have been prevented if the decision had been made to close corporate tax loopholes and giveaways.

In Illinois, businesses receive millions in state tax breaks per year, over and above untold millions in local tax breaks as well as loans, grants, land write-downs, and training grants.

Examples

- The State of Illinois is using taxpayer money to subsidize the horse track racing industry. This use of public money comes in a combination of tax breaks and direct subsidies. According to a report from the state comptroller, the state lost more than $100 million on horse tracks in the past fiscal year.

- Illinois also provides generous tax breaks to multinational companies that locate their headquarters in the state. For example, Microsoft received $100 million in tax breaks to open its new campus in suburban Chicago. In exchange, the company agreed to create a large number of jobs in the state.

Corporations and the government continue to give away untold millions of dollars in tax breaks each year. In Illinois, for example, the state legislature recently approved a $1 billion tax break for a major oil company that plans to build a new refinery in the state. The company has agreed to create 100 new jobs as part of the deal, but the tax breaks are still expected to cost the state millions of dollars.

As federal and state law-makers focus on welfare reform and the continued effort to end welfare “as we know it” for poor mothers and their children, an examination of corporate welfare appears timely. Taxpayer dollars supporting corporations that do not contribute to the public welfare might be better spent in addressing our nation’s critical social issues such as homelessness, healthcare, job training and creation, education, and housing.

Corporate Welfare: FEDERAL

Congress funds more than 125 programs that subsidize private businesses. These business subsidy programs cost federal taxpayers roughly $85 billion annually, and the dollar amount has been growing substantially in recent years. According to Public Citizen, a national non-profit public interest organization, this amounts to the combined annual income tax paid by 60 million individuals and families.

Examples

- A prime example of a direct business handout is the Agriculture Department’s Market Access Program (MAP), which costs over $80 million per year. For the past 16 years, MAP has given tax dollars to food exporters to cover the costs of overseas advertising campaigns. The 1993 MAP budget included $1.5 million to promote mink furs, over $125,000 to promote frozen bovine semen, and nearly $120,000 to promote alligator hides. Much of this money goes to the United States’ largest corporations.

- The Mining Act of 1872 allows companies to purchase federal land for $5 an acre or less and to mine valuable minerals from federal land without paying a cent in royalties. More than 3.5 million acres of public land (an area the size of Connecticut) have been transferred to mining companies for this trivial fee. Attempts to reform the act have failed, thanks to the efforts of the mining lobby.

Corporations engage in a transaction that does not contribute to the public interest organization, and housing.
ing to the Illinois Racing Board, the value of these tax breaks and subsidies was nearly $40 million in 2000.5

The retailers’ discount was enacted in 1959 to reimburse businesses for the burden of computing and collecting the amount of state sales tax that applied to their sales. Under the statute, retailers keep 1.75 percent of the sales tax they collect. In 2000, over $102 million of tax revenue was retained by retailers to offset the cost of collecting sales taxes. This act was passed at a time when automated record keeping was not available and the process was labor intensive. Now ample technology exists to simplify this process and make the discount inappropriate.6

Political Influence and Corporate Welfare

Millions of dollars are provided to legislators to influence decisions on appropriations, tax policy, and legislation on a wide array of issues. Corporations set aside funds to pay for lobbyists who work overtime to ensure that corporate welfare remains intact and is expanded. A corporate-welfare bureaucracy of an estimated 11,000 organizations and agencies has grown up with access to city halls, statehouses, the Capitol and the White House.7

A study examining campaign contribution records of top tax-avoiding companies includes many household names, such as General Electric, Microsoft, and Walt Disney. For example, “The short list of top tax avoiders—41 large profitable companies that received $55.2 billion in tax breaks between 1996 and 1998, including 23 companies that received rebates in 1998—contributed more than $150 million to federal candidates and parties between 1991 and 2002. The majority of this cash, 56 percent, was given in the form of ‘hard money’ contributions, coming from PACs associated with the companies and from business executives and their families subject to federal limits but ‘bundled’ in large amounts from these companies.”8

In the 2000 elections, huge unregulated soft money contributions from corporations, unions, and individuals financed political campaigns. Analysts predicted that by November 2000, soft money totals would top $500 million. Overall campaign spending could hit $3 billion.9

Congress looks at funding for vital social programs and environmental protection while, at the same time, needing to fulfill its promises to lobbyists and industries. Industry’s wants usually win, leaving little revenue for the management of social and environmental programs and sometimes resulting in deep cuts to essential programs.

Effects of Corporate Welfare

Tax breaks to corporations lessen government revenues, prompting government to burden the middle and working class with making up for the lost revenue or to cut needed social programs. For example, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development’s housing budget has been cut from $74 billion in 1978 to $25 billion today. Corporate welfare creates an unequal playing field for corporations and fosters an incestuous relationship between government and business. The blatant connections between Enron and the Bush administration are an excellent example. Since 1999, Enron and its executives have given more than $2 million to the Bush campaign and other GOP causes.10 Enron’s access to the administration and its influence on policy development is well known.

Intermingling government dollars with corporate political clout has a corrupting influence on the U.S. system of democratic government.

The fading of corporate taxation helps stock prices. For the most part, this benefits upper-income Americans who own the bulk of corporate shares and widens an already large income gap between rich and poor. It also means Washington must raise individual income taxes, including those on the less than rich.11

Summary

There are those who defend corporate welfare and promote it as a way to create jobs and generate additional revenues, but monthly job cuts averaged 46,349 between 1993 and 2000. As many as 1.2 million layoffs occurred from January to mid-December 2001. Many of these layoffs were done by Fortune 500 companies that receive huge federal subsidies. (see chart)

The incestuous relationship between corporations and government is a dangerous phenomenon that represents a major threat to our democracy. Advocates seeking to move the federal government to address major problems such as education, healthcare, environmental protection, homelessness, and housing have to compete on a totally unequal playing field with superpowerful corporate interests.

Various efforts are under way to address the problem of corporate welfare and the need for campaign finance reform. If you wish to add your voice to these campaigns, you can find relevant information at the following websites: commoncause.org, cato.org, opensecrets.org, and publiccampaign.org.
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