

September 2008, Number 185

## DISTRIBUTION OF THE GEORGIA CORPORATE AND NET WORTH TAX LIABILITIES, 1998 AND 2005

The Georgia corporate income tax is a 6 percent tax on a base of net corporate income, which is a close derivative of the Federal corporate taxable income. In 2006 the state began a two-year transition from a 3-factor apportionment formula to a single-factor apportionment formula that is based entirely on sales. Prior to 2006, firms with multistate income used a 3-factor formula with a 50 percent weight on sales, and a 25 percent weight on property and payroll.<sup>1</sup>

In addition to the corporate tax, Georgia also levies a Net Worth (NW) tax computed on the same return as the state corporate income tax. The base of the tax is the sum of a firm's issued capital stock, paid-in surplus, and retained earnings. The NW tax liability ranges in discreet increments from \$10 for firms with net worth up to \$10,000 to \$5,000 for firms with asset values in excess of \$22,000,000.<sup>2</sup>

In combination, these two taxes provided 7 percent of total net revenues for the state in 1998 and 5 percent in 2005.<sup>3</sup> This brief provides a description of the distribution of the corporate tax filing population by corporate income tax and the corporate net worth tax liabilities; the purpose is to shed some light on the interaction between the Corporate and Net Worth tax.

Table 1 shows the distribution of corporate tax filers by the size of their corporate tax liability. In 1998, there were 95,247 corporate tax filers with an aggregate tax liability of \$757 million.<sup>4</sup> 61 percent of corporate filers owed no corporate tax while 43 percent of the aggregate tax liability was paid by 83 firms. A similar pattern is found for 2005, with 66 percent of firms having no corporate tax liability and 78 firms paying 33 percent of the aggregate tax liability. Of the 83 firms in 1998, 12 are also found in the group of 78 in 2005.

Table 2 provides the distribution of the Net Worth tax liability for corporate filers for 1998 and 2005. This distribution is only slightly less skewed than that of the corporate income tax. For instance, 49 percent of firms had a NW tax liability equal to the minimum amount of \$10. At the other end of the spectrum, 1 percent of all firms had assets in excess of \$22 million and paid the maximum liability of \$5,000 per firm, or 26 percent of the aggregate NW tax liability for 1998. This same pattern is repeated in 2005.

The function of the NW tax is to act as a minimum tax, but unlike the Federal Alternative Minimum Tax, firms pay both liabilities instead of choosing the greater of the two. Table 3 provides the distribution

**TABLE 1. DISTRIBUTION OF CORPORATE TAX LIABILITIES, 1998 AND 2005**

-----Year = 1998-----			
	# of Observations (% of Total Firms)	Mean Corp. Liability	Aggregate Liability (% of Aggregate Liability)
Tax liability=\$0	58,000 (61%)	\$0	\$0 (0%)
0<Tax liability<\$1,000	17,980 (19%)	\$307	\$5,522,273 (1%)
\$1,000≤Tax liability<\$5,000	11,077 (12 %)	\$2,403	\$26,612,643 (4%)
\$5,000≤Tax liability<\$10,000	2,893 (3%)	\$6,978	\$20,186,114 (3%)
\$10,000≤Tax liability<\$50,000	3,527 (4%)	\$22,217	\$78,359,960 (10%)
\$50,000≤Tax liability<\$100,000	783 (1%)	\$70,556	\$55,245,350 (7%)
\$100,000≤Tax liability<\$500,000	793 (1%)	\$210,596	\$167,002,867 (22%)
\$500,000≤Tax liability<\$1,000,000	111 (0%)	\$681,430	\$75,638,731 (10%)
\$1,000,000≤Tax liability	83 (0%)	\$3,959,491	\$328,637,715 (43%)
-----Year = 2005-----			
Tax liability=\$0	54,639 (66%)	\$0	\$0 (0%)
0<Tax liability<\$1,000	13,253 (16%)	\$294	\$3,896,757 (1%)
\$1,000≤Tax liability<\$5,000	7,625 (9%)	\$2,393	\$18,245,641 (3%)
\$5,000≤Tax liability<\$10,000	2,056 (3%)	\$7,049	\$14,492,087 (2%)
\$10,000≤Tax liability<\$50,000	2,860 (3%)	\$22,451	\$64,211,861 (11%)
\$50,000≤Tax liability<\$100,000	748 (1%)	\$70,860	\$53,003,355 (9%)
\$100,000≤Tax liability<\$500,000	783 (1%)	\$210,256	\$164,630,147 (27%)
\$500,000≤Tax liability<\$1,000,000	122 (0%)	\$705,314	\$86,048,247 (14%)
\$1,000,000≤Tax liability	78 (0%)	\$2,544,846	\$198,497,960 (33%)

Source: Georgia Department of Revenue corporate tax return data file. Authors' calculations.

**TABLE 2. DISTRIBUTION OF NET WORTH TAX LIABILITY, 1998 AND 2005**

-----Year = 1998-----			
	# of Observations (% of Total Firms)	Mean NW Liability	Aggregate Liability (% of Aggregate Liability)
NW Tax liability=\$10	46,956 (49%)	\$8.48	\$398,273 (2%)
\$10<NW Tax liability<\$50	10,929 (11%)	\$27.63	\$302,010 (2%)
\$50≤NW Tax liability<\$100	6,990 (7%)	\$66.4	\$464,398 (2%)
\$100≤NW Tax liability<\$500	20,459 (21%)	\$190.0	\$3,887,512 (20%)
\$500≤NW Tax liability<\$1,000	4,442 (5%)	\$657.7	\$2,921,701 (15%)
\$1,000≤NW Tax liability<\$2,500	3,826 (4%)	\$1,260.1	\$4,821,273 (24%)
\$2,500≤NW Tax liability<\$5,000	616 (1%)	\$3,242.8	\$1,997,584 (10%)
NW Tax liability=\$5,000	1,021 (1%)	\$5,000	\$5,105,000 (26%)
-----Year = 2005-----			
NW Tax liability=\$10	43,117 (52%)	\$8.15	\$351,301 (2%)
\$10<NW Tax liability<\$50	7,325 (9%)	\$27.66	\$202,616 (1%)
\$50≤NW Tax liability<\$100	4,956 (6%)	\$66.5	\$329,553 (2%)
\$100≤NW Tax liability<\$500	16,531 (20%)	\$194.5	\$3,214,845 (16%)
\$500≤NW Tax liability<\$1,000	4,378 (5%)	\$662.6	\$2,900,994 (14%)
\$1,000≤NW Tax liability<\$2,500	3,920 (5%)	\$1,262.9	\$4,950,587 (24%)
\$2,500≤NW Tax liability<\$5,000	704 (1%)	\$3,314.3	\$2,333,291 (11%)
NW Tax liability=\$5,000	1,233 (2%)	\$5,000	\$6,165,000 (30%)

Source: Georgia Department of Revenue corporate tax return data file. Authors' calculations.

**TABLE 3. NET WORTH TAX LIABILITY BY LEVEL OF CORPORATE TAX LIABILITY, 1998 AND 2005**

-----Year=1998-----						
-----Net Worth Tax Liability, No. of Firms (% of all filers)-----						
Corporate Tax liability	\$10	\$10<x<\$100	\$100≤x<\$500	\$500≤x<\$1,000	\$1,000≤x<\$5,000	\$5,000
\$0	4,970 (5.2)	40,726 (42.8)	7,973 (8.4)	1,633 (1.7)	2,096 (2.2)	595 (0.6)
\$0<x<\$1,000	1,104 (1.2)	12,984 (13.6)	3,559 (3.7)	248 (0.3)	77 (0.1)	8 (0.0)
\$1,000≤x<\$10,000	347 (0.4)	4,189 (4.4)	7,764 (8.2)	1,252 (1.3)	401 (0.4)	17 (0.0)
\$10,000≤x<\$100,000	160 (0.2)	280 (0.3)	1,136 (1.2)	1,266 (1.3)	1,381 (1.5)	86 (0.1)
\$100,000≤x<\$500,000	42 (0.0)	52 (0.1)	26 (0.0)	41 (0.0)	449 (0.0)	183 (0.2)
\$500,000≤x	10 (0.0)	11 (0.0)	1 (0.0)	2 (0.0)	38 (0.0)	132 (0.1)
-----Year=2005-----						
Corporate Tax liability	\$10	\$10<x<\$100	\$100≤x<\$500	\$500≤x<\$1,000	\$1,000≤x<\$5,000	\$5,000
\$0	6,218 (7.6)	35,366 (43.0)	7,989 (9.7)	1,906 (2.3)	2,347 (2.9)	812 (1.0)
\$0<x<\$1,000	982 (1.2)	9,063 (11.0)	2,741 (3.3)	319 (0.4)	136 (0.2)	12 (0.0)
\$1,000≤x<\$10,000	285 (0.4)	2,925 (3.6)	4,950 (6.0)	1,087 (1.3)	409 (0.5)	25 (0.0)
\$10,000≤x<\$100,000	154 (0.2)	281 (0.3)	819 (1.0)	1,025 (1.3)	1,240 (1.5)	89 (0.1)
\$100,000≤x<\$500,000	48 (0.1)	49 (0.1)	30 (0.0)	39 (0.1)	456 (0.6)	161 (0.2)
\$500,000≤x	14 (0.0)	12 (0.0)	2 (0.0)	2 (0.0)	36 (0.0)	134 (0.2)

Source: Georgia Department of Revenue corporate tax return data file. Authors' calculations.

of NW tax liabilities of firms by the value of their corporate tax liabilities. For instance, in 1998 of the firms that had no corporate tax liability, 4,970 (5.2 percent of all firms) paid the minimum NW tax amount of \$10 and 595 (0.6 percent of all firms) paid the maximum amount of \$5,000. The majority of firms with no corporate tax liability in 1998 (40,726) incurred a NW tax liability of between \$10 and \$100. These firms represent 42.8 percent of all firms filing corporate return in 1998. On the other hand, 132 firms with a 1998 corporate tax liability greater than \$500,000 paid the maximum NW liability of \$5,000 each.

The information provided in this brief illustrates the distribution of corporate and net worth tax liabilities among Georgia corporations. Both the corporate income and the net worth tax liabilities increase with a firm's income. Thus, at one end of the profit spectrum, the majority of corporate tax filers (43 percent) had a tax net worth tax liability of no more than \$100 in 2005 and no corporate tax liability. At the other end of the spectrum, 134 firms in 2005 faced the maximum net worth tax liability and a corporate income tax liability of at least \$500,000. Thus, the most profitable firms were hit by both taxes. Redesigning the net worth tax so that it is creditable against the corporate income tax or so that it is indirectly related to a firm's profitability would level the overall distribution of the corporate tax burden and ensure that all firms pay at least some amount in return for government services.

## NOTES

<sup>1</sup>For more information on the computation of the Georgia Corporate Income tax, see FRC Report 78 (2002).

<sup>2</sup>For more information on the computation of the Georgia Net Worth Tax, see FRC Report 78 (2002).

<sup>3</sup>Georgia Department of Revenue Annual Statistical Report, Table H-2.

<sup>4</sup>This figure includes only those firms filing as c-corporations.

## ABOUT THE AUTHORS

**Jonathan C. Rork** is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Economics in the Andrew Young School of Policy Studies. His research interests are in state and local public finance, focusing on issues of interjurisdictional competition, the economics of state gaming and state lotteries, and the economics of the elderly. He holds a Ph.D from Stanford University.

**Laura Wheeler** is a Senior Researcher at the Fiscal Research Center with the Andrew Young School of Policy Studies. She received her Ph.D. in economics from the Maxwell School at Syracuse University. Prior to coming to FRC, Laura worked for several years with the Joint Committee on Taxation for Congress and as an independent consultant on issues of tax policy. Her research interests include state and local taxation, corporate taxation, and welfare policy.

## ABOUT FRC

The Fiscal Research Center provides nonpartisan research, technical assistance, and education in the evaluation and design the state and local fiscal and economic policy, including both tax and expenditure issues. The Center's mission is to promote development of sound public policy and public understanding of issues of concern to state and local governments.

The Fiscal Research Center (FRC) was established in 1995 in order to provide a stronger research foundation for setting fiscal policy for state and local governments and for better-informed decision making. The FRC, one of several prominent policy research centers and academic departments housed in the School of Policy Studies, has a full-time staff and affiliated faculty from throughout Georgia State University and elsewhere who lead the research efforts in many organized projects.

The FRC maintains a position of neutrality on public policy issues in order to safeguard the academic freedom of authors. Thus, interpretations or conclusions in FRC publications should be understood to be solely those of the author. For more information on the Fiscal Research Center, call 404-413-0249.

## RECENT PUBLICATIONS

*Distribution of the Georgia Corporate and net Worth Tax Liabilities, 1998 and 2005.* This brief illustrates the distribution of corporate and net worth income tax liabilities among Georgia corporations. (September 2008)

*The Effect of Insurance Premium Taxes on Employment.* This report provides estimates of the effect of the insurance premium taxes on state-level employment in the insurance industry. (September 2008)

*Variation in Teacher Salaries in Georgia.* This report documents the variation in K-12 public school teacher salaries in Georgia and discusses the causes of variation in teacher salaries within and across districts. (August 2008)

*A Brief History of the Property Tax in Georgia.* This report is a chronology of the development of the property tax system that currently exists in Georgia from the 1852 legislation pointing out significant changes made over the past 156 years. (August 2008)

*Estimates of the Effects on Property Tax Expansion Under Assessment Caps Proposed in HR 1246.* This report estimates the effect of assessment caps proposed in HB 1246 on county, school district, and city tax base growth. (July 2008)

*By the Numbers: Property Taxes in Georgia.* This report presents data on the property tax in Georgia, considering the growth in property tax base and property tax revenue, how the tax base varies by county, changes over time, and property taxes by type of government. (June 2008)

*Property Tax Limitations.* This report discusses property tax limitations in the U.S. and highlights limitations imposed in Georgia. (June 2008)

*An Analysis of a Need-Based Student Aid Program for Georgia.* This report explores issues associated with establishing a need-based student aid program in Georgia. (May 2008)

*A Closer Look at Georgia's Veteran Population.* This brief compares demographic information on Georgia's veteran population with that of the rest of the country. (May 2008)

*Tracking the Economy of the City of Atlanta: Past Trends and Future Prospects.* This report explores the changes in the level and composition of employment in the City of Atlanta over the last 25 years. (May 2008)

*Georgia's Immigrants: Past, Present, and Future.* This report examines the economic success of immigrants relative to the state's residents as a whole and speculates on how we might expect immigrant populations to fare in the future. (April 2008)

*Property Tax in Georgia.* This report discusses the structure of the property tax in Georgia and various provisions that make up the structure of the property tax. (March 2008)

*A Targeted Property Tax Relief Program for Georgia.* This report describes how a targeted property tax relief program could be designed and provides estimates of the cost and distribution of program benefits. (February 2008)

*A Historical Comparison of Neighboring States with Different Income Tax Regimes.* This report focuses on simple historical differences between states without an income tax and neighbor states with an income tax. (November 2007)

*Replacing All Property Taxes: An Analysis of Revenue Issues.* This brief discusses the amount of revenue needed to replace all property taxes in Georgia. (October 2007)

*Revenue Estimates for Eliminating Sales Tax Exemptions and Adding Services to the Sales Tax Base.* This report provides revenue estimates for alternative combination of eliminating sales tax exemptions and adding services to the sales tax base. (October 2007)

*Report on the City of South Fulton: Potential Revenue and Expenditures (Revised).* This report evaluates the fiscal consequences of incorporating a new city of South Fulton, using Fulton County revenue and expenditure data and benchmarks from other Georgia cities. (October 2007)

*Report on the City of Chattahoochee Hill Country: Potential Revenues and Expenditures.* Using Fulton County revenue and expenditure data and benchmarks developed from other Georgia city data, this report evaluates the fiscal consequences of incorporating a new city of Chattahoochee Hill Country. (October 2007)

*Selected Fiscal and Economic Implications of Aging.* This report considers pressures and potential benefits of an increased elderly population in Georgia. (October 2007)

*Subnational Value-Added Taxes: Options for Georgia.* This report considers the implications of levying a subnational value-added tax in Georgia as a replacement for the state corporate income and sales tax. (September 2007)

*Distribution of State and Local Government Revenue by Source.* This brief compares the reliance on various revenue sources across Georgia compared with eight other states. (September 2007)

*Tax Revenue Stability of Replacing the Property Tax with a Sales Tax.* This policy brief discusses the implications for tax revenue stability of proposals that would replace the property tax with an increased sales tax. (September 2007)

*Potential Impact of the Great Plan on Georgia's Tax Administration.* This brief examines local property tax and sales tax implications for tax administrators. (August 2007)

*Is a State VAT the Answer? What's the Question.* This report provides an overview of the differences between the retail sales tax and a value added tax and the potential use of a VAT in U.S. states. (August 2007)

*Budget Stabilization Funds: A Cross-State Comparison.* This brief provides an overview of budget stabilization fund policies across the states. (August 2007)

*Four Options for Eliminating Property Taxes and Funding Local Governments.* This policy brief provides an overview of financing options in the case of substantially reduced property tax revenues for local governments in Georgia. (August 2007)

*Economic Impact of the Commercial Music Industry in Atlanta and the State of Georgia: New Estimates.* This report documents the economic and fiscal impact of the industry, and changes in the impact from 2003 to 2007. (August 2007)

*A Flat Rate Income Tax in Georgia.* This brief provides a distributional analysis for Georgia's current individual income tax and a 4 percent and 5.75 percent flat income tax rate structure. (July 2007)

*Issues Associated with Replacing the Property Tax with State Grants.* This brief presents a list of issues and questions that should be considered in any proposal to replace the local property tax with state grants. (July 2007)

*Overview and Comparison of the Value Added Tax and the Retail Sales Tax.* This brief summarizes the similarities and differences between a value added tax and the much recognized general sales tax, or retail sales tax. This brief is one in a series of briefs and reports that relate to tax policy options for Georgia. (June 2007)

*The Financial Position of Pennsylvania's Public Sector: Past, Present, and Future.* This report is the third of three reports that address the fiscal conditions of other states, explores the factors that explain the conditions, and the likely future trends. (June 2007)

For a free copy of any of the publications listed, call the Fiscal Research Center at 404/413-0249, or fax us at 404/413-0248. All reports are available on our webpage at: [frc.gsu.edu](http://frc.gsu.edu).